

Non-Fourier Heat Conduction Modelling in a Finite Medium

Jozef Gembarovic ^{a,*} Jozef Gembarovic, Jr. ^a

^a*TPRL, Inc., 3080 Kent Avenue, West Lafayette, IN 47906, USA*

Abstract

A novel, simple iterative algorithm based on dumped heat wave is used to calculate temperature distribution in finite medium in case of non-Fourier (hyperbolic) heat conduction. In this algorithm the temperature is calculated explicitly in one simple calculation that is repeated for each time step as the heat wave marches through the medium with constant speed. When the wave reaches a boundary of the medium it bounces back and moves in the opposite direction. All simple initial and boundary conditions can be modelled. An example of using the algorithm in case of finite, thermally insulated medium is given and the results are compared with exact analytical solution.

Key words: Numerical algorithm, non-Fourier heat conduction, temperature distribution

PACS: 66.30.-h, 44.05.+e, 66.70.+f

1 Introduction

Heat flow (\vec{q}) in solids is generally regarded as a diffusion-like process, which is described by Fourier law

$$\vec{q} = -\lambda \text{grad}T, \quad (1)$$

where λ is the thermal conductivity and $\text{grad}T$ is the temperature gradient. Temperature distribution is a solution of the classical (Fourier) heat conduc-

* Corresponding author

Email address: gembar@tprl.com (Jozef Gembarovic).

tion equation [1]:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \Delta T \quad (2)$$

where α is the thermal diffusivity, Δ is Laplace operator and $T = T(\vec{r}, t)$ is the temperature in space-time point (\vec{r}, t) . Equation (2) represents a partial differential equation (PDE) of *parabolic* type and its analytical solutions show a paradoxical behavior of infinite speed of propagation of the thermal disturbance. Any local change in temperature causes an instantaneous perturbation at each point of the medium, in whatever distance from the origin. It is in contradiction with the theory of relativity and also with known mechanisms of heat conduction.

Experiments with *second sound* in solid helium and in other crystalline solids [2], at very low temperatures [3], and at very short duration [4] clearly showed that the heat flows as a dumped wave. If the crystal structure is almost defect free (perfect) and the conditions for the second sound are met, then after a pulse heating an observable humplike formation of increased temperature (*dumped heat wave*) moves with a constant speed through the medium. The wave bounces back and forth from the boundaries while slowly dissipating its energy along the path. The damped heat wave (DHW) is described by PDE of *hyperbolic* type first derived by Maxwell [5] and later postulated by Vernotte [6] and Cattaneo [7]:

$$\tau \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \Delta T, \quad (3)$$

where τ is the thermal relaxation time. Speed of propagation of the thermal wave is $v = \sqrt{\alpha/\tau}$. Equation (2) is a limiting case of Equation (3) for $\tau \rightarrow 0$.

Analytical solutions for non-Fourier Equation (3) have been found only for a limited set of geometries and boundary conditions [8]. Existing standard software for numerical calculations of temperature distribution is based mainly on Fourier heat conduction equation. Therefore, it is hard to model non-Fourier heat conduction processes in real situations for engineers and designers without programming capabilities.

Recently, we have developed a novel, simple iterative algorithm based on dumped heat wave for approximate calculation of temperature distribution in finite medium for Fourier heat conduction [9]. This paper will show that this algorithm can be used to calculate temperature distribution in one dimensional finite medium also for non-Fourier heat transfer.

2 Analytical Solution

Analytical solution of Equation (3) for the temperature distribution in an isotropic homogeneous finite medium ($0 \leq x \leq L$), with zero initial temperature, adiabatically insulated boundaries, with one surface heated by a stepwise heat pulse of duration t_1 is given by [10]

$$V(x, t) = \frac{1}{t_1} \int_0^{t_1} \left[F(x, t - t') - \tau \frac{\partial F(x, t - t')}{\partial t'} \right] dt', \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, t) = & \frac{L}{\sqrt{\alpha\tau}} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2\tau}\right) \\ & \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ I_0 \left[\frac{1}{2\tau} \sqrt{t^2 - (2kL + x)^2 \frac{\tau}{\alpha}} \right] H \left[t - (2kL + x) \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\alpha}} \right] \right. \\ & \left. + I_0 \left[\frac{1}{2\tau} \sqrt{t^2 - (2kL + 2L - x)^2 \frac{\tau}{\alpha}} \right] H \left[t - (2kL + 2L - x) \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\alpha}} \right] \right\}, \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

$H(t)$ is Heaviside unit step function, and $I_0(z)$ is modified Bessel function of the first kind zero order.

The analytical solution for a finite medium ($L = 1$ cm, $\alpha = 0.025$ cm²/s, $\tau = 10$ s) heated by a stepwise pulse of duration $t_1 = 2$ s, is shown in Figure 1. Temperature profiles are calculated using Equation (4) at three different times $t = t_1, 8t_1, 17t_1$. Dominant feature of this type of heat transfer is a thermal wave, which is travelling through the medium, bouncing back and forth from the boundaries, decaying exponentially with time and dissipating its energy along its path.

3 Algorithm Description

In our algorithm for calculation of temperature distribution the medium is divided into N equal slabs of thickness $\Delta l = L/N$. These slabs are replaced by a perfect conductor of the same heat capacity separated by thermal resistance $\Delta l/\lambda$, so the temperature within a slab at any given time is constant. Heat propagates from one slab to another due to existence of a temperature difference between the slabs. The wave takes certain portion (given by the *inner transfer coefficient* $0 < \xi < 1$) of excessive heat energy from one slab and




Fig. 1.

Temperature distributions in a finite medium calculated using the analytical solution given by Equation (4).

moves that amount to the next one (redistribution), thus lowering the temperature difference between the two neighbor slabs. The wave starts from the left boundary slabs and marches in space from one pair of slabs to another, redistributing the thermal energy between the slabs. When it reaches the boundary of the medium, the wave bounces back and moves in the opposite direction in a perpetual manner.

Slab temperatures are $T_{i,m} \equiv T(x_i, t_m)$, where x_i , ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$) is a spatial point (middle of the i th slab), and $t_m = m\Delta t$, ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is discrete time point. Temperature distribution at time t_{m+1} when the heat wave is marching from left to right is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{n,m+1} &= T_{n,m} - \xi(T_{n,m} - T_{n+1,m})\delta_{n,m}, \\
 T_{n+1,m+1} &= T_{n+1,m} + \xi(T_{n,m} - T_{n+1,m})\delta_{n,m}, \\
 &\text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\delta_{n,m}$ is Kronecker delta. Temperature of each slab changes twice as the wave passes the slab.

Similarly, the temperature distribution at time t_{m+1} when the heat wave is




Fig. 2.

Dumped heat wave in a finite medium. Inner transfer coefficient $\xi = 0.95$.

marching in opposite direction from right to left:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{2N-n,m+1} &= T_{2N-n,m} - \xi(T_{2N-n,m} - T_{2N-n-1,m})\delta_{n,m}, \\
 T_{2N-n-1,m+1} &= T_{2N-n-1,m} + \xi(T_{2N-n,m} - T_{2N-n-1,m})\delta_{n,m}, \\
 &\text{for } n = N, N + 1, N + 2, \dots, 2N - 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

An example of the heat wave in one-dimensional finite medium with $\xi = 0.95$ is shown in Figure 2. The medium is divided into $N = 6$ slabs. The wave height is reduced from 10 to 3.61 units after 28 time steps. The rest of the media is at about 1.2 units. The wave height decays exponentially with time, similarly as in the solution given by Equation (4). Sum of all heights in the medium is always equal to 10, in accordance with the total energy conservation law.

When the heat wave imitates diffusion (parabolic heat transfer) then the wave is strongly dumped ($\xi < 0.5$) and its actual position is not important. The time step Δt is therefore chosen to be equal to one loop time interval. On the contrary, the wave position is essential in the case of hyperbolic heat transfer, when the heat wave is much less dumped ($\xi \rightarrow 1$) and moves across the medium with a constant speed. The time step in non-Fourier heat transfer

has to be equal to the heat pulse duration ($\Delta t = t_1$). Time origin is also set to t_1 when heat pulse already entered the medium. Slab thickness Δl is then given by

$$\Delta l = vt_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\tau}}t_1. \quad (8)$$

Fraction $L/\Delta l$ defines the number of slabs N which should be an integer number, equal or bigger than 5. In other words, the heat pulse duration t_1 should be at least 5 times less than the time $t^* = L\sqrt{\tau/\alpha}$, needed for heat wave to reach the opposite end of the medium. These conditions limit the use of our algorithm, especially for long pulses, or very thin layers.

The inner transfer coefficient ξ for hyperbolic heat transfer is defined as

$$\xi = \left(1 + \frac{\Delta t}{2\tau}\right)^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

When the wave imitates non-Fourier heat transfer, the inner transfer coefficient is $1 > \xi \gtrsim 0.9$. It follows from Equation (9) that the upper limit for the time step Δt is given by:

$$\Delta t \lesssim \frac{2}{9}\tau. \quad (10)$$

This introduces a limit to the pulse duration in comparison with the relaxation time that can be modelled by our algorithm.

Figure 3(a)(b)(c) show the temperature distributions in a finite medium for the case with $L = 1$ cm, $\alpha = 0.025$ cm²/s, $\tau = 10$ s, $t_1 = 2$ s. The inner transfer coefficient is $\xi = 0.90909091$. Initial temperature (at $t = 2$ s) is 10 units for the first slab (from left) and the rest of the medium is at zero temperature. There are no heat losses at the boundaries. The temperature distribution calculated using our algorithm is compared with the exact analytical solution given by Equation (4). In non-Fourier heat transfer it is important to know the temperature distribution in early stages after the heat pulse, so the profiles are calculated for: (a) $t = 4$ s; (b) $t = 18$ s; and (c) $t = 32$ s. It can be seen, that the calculated temperatures are in a good agreement with the analytical ones.

If there are heat losses from the medium surfaces a part of the excessive thermal energy leaves the medium, when the wave reaches the boundary slabs.




Fig. 3. Temperature distributions in a finite medium. Temperatures calculated using our algorithm (solid lines) are compared with exact analytical solutions (dotted lines) given by Eq. (4). The profiles are: (a) at $t = 4$ s; (b) at $t = 18$ s; and (c) at $t = 32$ s.

Temperatures of the boundary slabs are furthermore changed due to the heat losses:

$$T_{N-1,N} = T_{N-1,N-1} - \zeta(T_{N-1,N-1} - T_A), \quad (11)$$

and

$$T_{0,2N+1} = T_{0,2N} - \zeta(T_{0,2N} - T_A), \quad (12)$$

where ζ is the *surface transfer coefficient* and T_A is the ambient temperature.

Various boundary conditions can be modelled by adjusting the surface transfer coefficient. In the case $\zeta = 1$ the constant temperature (equal to the ambient temperature) boundary condition is being simulated. Adiabatically insulated surface is given by $\zeta = 0$. Nonlinear conditions can be also modelled, e.g. radiation from the surface, in which the rate of heat energy leaving the surface is proportional to

$$\sigma\epsilon(T_i^4 - T_A^4),$$

where σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and ϵ is the emissivity of the surface. Temperature difference of the fourth power of the temperatures will be used in Eqs. (11)-(12).

4 Conclusion

Temperature distribution in a finite medium in case of non-Fourier heat conduction can be calculated using a simple iterative algorithm based on dumped heat wave. In this algorithm the temperature is calculated explicitly in one simple calculation that is repeated for each time step as the heat wave marches through the medium with a constant speed.

Proposed algorithm can be used by engineers and designers as a fast, easy to understand and easy to implement alternative to existing numerical and analytical methods. It could simplify hardware and software needs for temperature and heat flux calculations in real applications and open new possibilities for improving measurement and non-destructive testing procedures used in this field.

References

- [1] H. S. Carslaw and J. C. Jaeger, *Conduction of Heat in Solids, 2nd ed.*, Oxford University Press, London 1959, p. 9.
- [2] C. C. Ackerman and R. A. Guyer, *Annals of Physics* **50** (1968)
- [3] K. Mitra, et. al., *J. of Heat Transfer, Trans. ASME* **117** (1995) 568.
- [4] Yun-Sheng Xu and Zheng-Yuan Guo, *Int. J. Heat Mass Trans.* **38** (1995) 2919.
- [5] J. C. Maxwell, *Phil. Tran. R. Soc.* **157**, (1876), 49.
- [6] J. Vernotte, *C. R.* **246**, (1958), 3154.
- [7] C. Cattaneo, *C. R.* **247**, (1958), 431.
- [8] D. Y. Tzou, *Macro- to Microscale Heat Transfer, The Lagging Behavior*, Taylor and Francis, Washington DC 1997.
- [9] M. Loffler, J. Gembarovic and J. Gembarovic jr., *in Proceedings of 26 ITCC*, Cambridge, 2001 (in print).
- [10] J. Gembarovic and V. Majernik, *Int. J. Heat Mass Trans.* **31** (1987) 1073.